Hydrogen isotope retention in W irradiated by heavy ions and helium plasma

> M. Sakamoto, H. Tanaka, S. Ino, H. Watanabe<sup>1</sup>, M. Tokitani<sup>2</sup>, R. Ohyama<sup>3</sup>, A. Rusinov<sup>3</sup> and N. Yoshida<sup>1</sup>

Plasma Research Center, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan
 <sup>1</sup> RIAM, Kyushu University, Kasuga, Fukuoka, Japan
 <sup>2</sup> National Institute for Fusion Science, Toki, Gifu, Japan
 <sup>3</sup> IGSES, Kyushu University (already graduated), Kasuga, Fukuoka, Japan

2nd RCM of CRP on Irradiated Tungsten, Seoul National University, Seoul, Republic of Korea, 8-11 September 2015

# Contents

- Experimental set up
- Effect of heavy ion irradiation on retention in W
  - ✓ Examined materials
  - ✓ Experimental procedure
  - ✓ TEM observation
  - ✓ TDS analysis and damage level dependence
- Effect of He plasma irradiation on retention in W
  - ✓ Experimental procedure
  - ✓ TEM observation
  - ✓ TDS analysis and fuluence dependence

Summary

# Experimental setup: Cu<sup>2+</sup> Irradiation



Tandetron Accelerator (Kyushu Univ.) Ion: Cu<sup>2+</sup> Energy: 2.4 MeV Damage level: up to 4 dpa (max: > 100 dpa) Sample Temp.: up to 600 °C Exposure area: 8 mmø

3.5x10<sup>-4</sup> 2.5 2.8x10<sup>-4</sup> 2.0 2.1x10<sup>-4</sup> 1.5 1.4x10<sup>-4</sup> 00 면 1.0 7.0x10<sup>-5</sup> 0.5 0.0 0.0 200 800 1000 400 600 Depth(nm)

- Estimated depth profile of displacement damage and implanted ion range distribution in W.
- Calculation using the SRIM code with displacement energy of 55 eV.
- ➤ The peak damage region is ~400 nm.

## **Experimental setup: Deuterium Irradiation**

#### High energy D ion beam irradiation has been done in Kyushu Univ. Energy: 2 keV-D<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>, Fluence: 1 x 10<sup>21</sup> D<sub>2</sub>/m<sup>2</sup>

Plasma exposures have been performed using a compact PWI simulator APSEDAS.

#### APSEDAS (PRC, Univ. of Tsukuba)



 Plasma is produced by RF (13.56 MHz) wave power (< 5kW, typical: 800 W steady state).</li>
 => No electrode, No impurity such as carbon, Clean environment

- Magnetic field: < 0.05 T</p>
- Water cooled sample stage
- Plasma diameter: ~50 mm
- > Exposure area:  $\phi$ 8 mm (uniform exposure)





## **Examined Materials**

### Base Materials:

(A) 0.1mm-thick tungsten sheet (99.95% pure, Nilaco Co.)

- Annealed at ~2000 °C for 20 min (Re-crystallized)
- (B) 1mm-thick tungsten disc (99.99% pure, A.L.M.T. corp.)
  - Annealed at ~ 2000 °C for 1 h (Re-crystallized)



#### The grain size is in the range of 10 $\mu$ m to ~100 $\mu$ m.

## **Experimental Procedure**

### Sample A:

(A) 0.1mm-thick tungsten sheets (99.95% pure, Nilaco Co.)



## **Experimental Procedure**

### Sample B:

(B) 1mm-thick tungsten discs (99.99% pure, A.L.M.T. corp.)



### Damage Evolution (Sample A)

#### 300K , 2.4MeV-Cu<sup>2+</sup>, 0.007~0.7dpa, about 1x10<sup>-4</sup>dpa/s



- Most of the interstitial loops (IL) must be nucleated by cascade collisions, since the density of ILs was two order of magnitude higher than the estimated value using a rate theory assuming that the two free interstitials act as nuclei for ILs.
- Each IL can not grow larger individually but aligned ILs grow by coalescing. Concentration of ILs and interstitials accumulated in ILs exceeds 2x10<sup>-7</sup> and 5x10<sup>-4</sup> (≧0.1dpa), respectively.
- Small ILs align and change to larger IL by coalescing each other.

After H. Watanabe et al. 16<sup>th</sup> ICFRM, 16-405.

# Formation of nano-void

### <u>300K</u>, 2.4MeV-Cu<sup>2+</sup>, 1.0dpa, about 1x10<sup>-4</sup>dpa/s



- Nano-voids (d<1nm) are observed in 1 dpa case and they formed densely.</p>
- Nano void formation following 2.4 MeV-Cu<sup>2+</sup> irradiation at room temperature seems to be attributed to the cascade collisions and radiation induced diffusion of the vacancies.

After H. Watanabe et al. 16<sup>th</sup> ICFRM, 16-405.

### TDS Spectra after 2 keV-D<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> irradiation (Sample A)

#### 2.4MeV-Cu<sup>2+</sup>, 0.01~1.0dpa @300K + 2keV-D<sub>2</sub>+, 1x10<sup>21</sup>D<sub>2</sub>+/m<sup>2</sup> @300K



- > There seems to be mainly two peaks in the spectra.
- In the case of 0.1 dpa, the trapping of the peak A is greater than that of the peak C. In the case of 1 dpa, on the other hand, the peak C is greater than the peak A.
- Judging from the TEM observation and the computer simulation, trapping sites of A and C seems to be vacancies (+ their very small clusters?) and nano voids, respectively.

After H. Watanabe et al. 16<sup>th</sup> ICFRM, 16-405.

# D plasma irradiation & TDS

### Sample B:

(B) 1mm-thick tungsten discs (99.99% pure, A.L.M.T. corp.)





Plasma parameters

Electron density (m <sup>-3</sup> )	2.7 x 10 <sup>17</sup>
Electron temp. (eV)	10
Space potential (V)	30
Flux (m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	3.7 x 10 <sup>21</sup>
Fluence (m <sup>-2</sup> )	2.0 x 10 <sup>25</sup>
Surface temp. (K)	480

- Low energy and high flux plasma was exposed to the sample B.
- The D atoms seem to diffuse over the damage peak (~400nm), since the fluence was high and the surface temperature was also high.

### Dependence of TDS Spectrum on the damage level



- There seems to be three main peaks in the spectra and the peak around 850 K newly appeared due to 2.4 MeV Cu<sup>2+</sup> irradiation.
- Retention in the damaged W increases with the damage level but it saturates around 0.4 dpa, suggesting that newly introduced defects may be cancelled by already existing vacancies and voids with high density.

### Comparison between samples A & B



Sample	А	В
Irradiation	lon beam	Plasma
Incident energy (eV)	1000	30
Fluence (D m <sup>-2</sup> )	2.0 x 10 <sup>21</sup>	2.0 x 10 <sup>25</sup>
Surface temp. (K)	300	480

- The desorption rate is much higher in the plasma irradiation (i.e. sample B).
- In the case of ion beam irradiation, no desorption around 850 K was observed.

#### Question: Where did D atoms exist?

- In the case of plasma irradiation, the D atoms seem to diffuse over the damage peak (~400nm), since the fluence was high and the surface temperature was also high.
  - On the other hand, in the case of ion beam irradiation, the D atoms may not reach the damage peak due to the low fluence.

### Comparison between samples A & B





**Plasma irradiation** 

The trapping around 850 K in the sample B (i.e. plasma irradiation) is considered to be attributed to the defects (i.e. voids) around the damage peak.

# Contents

- Experimental set up
- Effect of heavy ion irradiation on retention in W
  - ✓ Examined materials
  - ✓ Experimental procedure
  - ✓ TEM observation
  - ✓ TDS analysis and damage level dependence
- Effect of He plasma irradiation on retention in W
  - ✓ Experimental procedure
  - ✓ TEM observation
  - ✓ TDS analysis and fuluence dependence

Summary

## Procedure (1): He bubble layer formation

### Preparation

- Polycrystalline tungsten: 0.1 mm thickness (Nilaco. Co.)
- Ultrasonic cleaning with acetone and ethanol
- He plasma exposure without cooling
  - ✓ Surface temperature: 1700 1900 K
  - ✓ Ion energy: ~ 30 eV
  - ✓ Flux: ~ 2 x 10<sup>22</sup> He/m<sup>2</sup>s
  - ✓ Fluence: ~ 8 x 10<sup>25</sup> He/m<sup>2</sup>

### He bubble formation

- Remarkable fine irregularities
  of < a few μm on the surface.</li>
- Many bubbles with the size of ~10nm to ~200 nm were generated beneath the surface.



#### SEM micrograph



#### TEM micrograph after FIB process

### Procedure (2): He desorption before D plasma exposure

Three TDS measurements to check He desorption before D plasma exposure ==> Helium was desorbed in the first TDS, but no He desorption was observed below ~900 K after 2<sup>nd</sup> TDS.

- After three TDS measurements, D plasma was exposed to the sample and then TDS spectra of He and D<sub>2</sub> were measured simultaneously with a high-resolution QMS.
  - Contribution of He desorption to the signal of M/e=4 is considerably low (< 1%) when the temperature is below 900 K.
  - Maximum temperature of the TDS measurements with the normal-resolution QMS was set to <1000K (typical:773 K).</li>

#### TDS spectra after He plasma exposure



TDS spectra of He and D<sub>2</sub> after He and D plasma exposures



# Deuterium plasma exposure

#### Summary of surface condition and D plasma parameters

Sample name	W-1	W-2
Pre-treatment	Annealing (1173K, 1h)	He exposure (1700K~1900K, 20-40eV, 4~9 X 10 <sup>25</sup> m <sup>-2</sup> )
Surface condition before D plasma exposure	Nothing	Fine irregularity He bubble, Hole
D plasma exposure		
Surface temp. (K)	500	510
Electron density (m <sup>-3</sup> )	2.5 x 10 <sup>17</sup>	2.4 x 10 <sup>17</sup>
Electron temp. (eV)	8	8
Space potential (V)	27	29
Flux (m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	3 x 10 <sup>21</sup>	2.9 x 10 <sup>21</sup>
Fluence (m <sup>-2</sup> )	2.1 x 10 <sup>25</sup>	2.3 x 10 <sup>25</sup>
Retention (m <sup>-2</sup> )	2.2 x 10 <sup>20</sup>	7.3 x 10 <sup>20</sup>

TDS spectra of bulk W (W-1) and W with He bubbles (W-2)



The D retention in W with He bubbles increased and the desorption peak shifted toward the lower temperature, suggesting that a little weak trap sites were generated beneath the surface due to the He bubbles.

# Fluence dependence

#### Bulk W:

The D retention increased with squareroot dependence of the fluence, indicating that diffusion is a dominant process of the D retention in the bulk W.

## D retention of the bulk W as a function of the fluence



# Fluence dependence

#### Bulk W:

The D retention increased with squareroot dependence of the fluence, indicating that diffusion is a dominant process of the D retention in the bulk W.

#### With helium bubbles:

- The D plasma exposure and subsequent TDS measurement was repeated 7 times using the same sample.
- The D retention is one order of magnitude larger than that of the bulk W in the low fluence case. It decreased gradually with fluence and became almost constant.
- 6<sup>th</sup> and 7<sup>th</sup> data are lower than 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> data, respectively, suggesting annealing effect of D plasma exposure.

D retention of the bulk W, W with He bubbles and W with W deposition as a function of the fluence



The number indicates the order of the measurements.

### Enhancement and suppression of D retention

> The helium bubbles trap considerable D atoms but simultaneously they prevent the diffusion of the D atoms to the deeper region and generate diffusion also а the path to surface for desorption of the mobile D atoms.



Z. Tian et al., JNM 399 (2010) 101. and references written therein

2nd RCM of CRP on Irradiated Tungsten, SNU, Korea 8 Sep. 2015

# Summary

Deuterium retention in tungsten (W) samples irradiated by Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions and helium plasma has been investigated to study effects of the damage on hydrogen isotope retention in W.

#### 2.4 MeV Cu<sup>2+</sup> ion irradiation

- The TEM observation revealed that most of the dislocation loops (ILs) were nucleated by cascade collisions and each IL can not grow larger individually but aligned ILs grow by coalescing. Nano-voids (d<1nm) are observed in 1 dpa case and they formed densely.
- Retention in the damaged W increases with the damage level but it saturates around 0.4 dpa, suggesting that newly introduced defects may be cancelled by already existing vacancies and voids with high density.
- The desorption peak around 850 K appeared in the TDS spectra of plasma irradiation, while it did not appear in the case of ion beam irradiation.
- From comparison between TDS spectra of ion beam irradiation and plasma irradiation, the trapping around 850 K in plasma irradiation is considered seems to be attributed to the defects (i.e. voids) around the damage peak.

# Summary (continued)

#### He plasma irradiation (He bubble effect)

As for W with He bubbles, the D retention was one order of magnitude higher than that of the bulk W in the low fluence case. It decreased gradually with fluence and became almost constant, suggesting that the helium bubbles trap considerable D atoms, but simultaneously they work as a diffusion barrier against deeper penetration of D and generate a diffusion path for desorption of the mobile D atoms.