IAEA CRP meeting, Vienna, Austria, 26-28.11.2013

Thermal desorption of deuterium from damaged tungsten: experiments and calculations

Yury Gasparyan National Research Nuclear University "MEPhI" Moscow, Russia

- Outline:
- Introduction
- Damage by keV ion beam
- Damage by MeV ion beam (collaboration with IPP)
- Calculations (Diffusion equation)
- DFT calculations

Deuterium retention in tungsten



 \succ Tungsten has a very low solubility (H $_{\rm s}$ = 1.04 eV), and rather strong traps!

Trapping determine accumulation of hydrogen isotopes in tungsten!

Traps: dislocations, grain boundary, vacancy, vacancy clusters, voids.
One trap can accumulate more than one atom of deuterium.

Filling of traps

≻Traps retard transport of hydrogen in the bulk of material.

The effective diffusivity can be 1-2 orders less than real Frauenfelder's value.

 \Rightarrow Even if we have a high concentration of traps in the bulk, the filing rate of these traps can be slow.

 Surface conditions are important for the filling rate! Impurities in the incident flux can significantly change the filing rate of traps.
Different impurities can both increase and decrease the filling rate and retention

•Yu. Gasparyan et al, Journal of Applied Physics 110,

3303 (2011).
O. V. Ogorodnikova et al., Phys. Scr. T145 (2011) 014034.

O. V. Ogorodnikova et al., Phys. Scr. T145 (2011) 014034.

Damage by keV D₃⁺ ions: Probe method

A. Rusinov, Yu. Gasparyan, et al., JNM, 415 (2011)



s645-s648. <u>Ion beam</u>: 10 keV/D₃⁺, 10¹⁴ D/cm²sec,

Ø3mm <u>TDS</u>: 2 K/sec, 300K-1750K, ~10 min after irradiation

Probe fluence : 2×10¹⁶ D/cm² Probe TDS reflects types and concentrations



Yu.M.Gasparvan et al., JNM.

Ogorodnikova et al

1% N + D ol

pure D pla

500 600

ature, H

" Dim

ex1 (2.0244) ex2 (2.16 eV ex4 (2.16 eV ex10 (2.14 eV ex12 (1.89 e) ex14 (2.58 e)

390-391(2009) 606-609.

0

5

Participants of the project



MEPhl

- Plasma Physics department (Yu. Gasparyan,A. Pisarev, E. Marenkov, V. Efimov, A. Mednikov)
- Material Science department

(M. Ganchenkova)

□ IPP (O. Ogorodnikova, M. Mayer, K. Sugiyama)

Ion and neutron damage in W



• **Ion induced defects** are located in the limited area close to the surface with the concentration up to several at.%

• Neutron induced defects are distributed deep in the bulk of material

100 m²×1 µm×10% n_{trap}/n_{solid} = 3 g

 $100 \text{ m}^2 \times 1 \text{ cm} \times 0.1\% \text{ n}_{\text{trap}}/\text{n}_{\text{solid}} = 300 \text{ g}$

- What kind of traps do neutrons produce? (Difference from ions?)
- Hydrogen transport in damaged W? (Filling rate of traps)
- > Evolution of traps with the temperature?

TDS facilities in MEPhI



MEDION (keV ion implantation + in situ TDS)

MD-2 (Co-deposition of D with materials + *in situ* TDS)

Damage by keV D₃⁺ ions



Clear transformation at 1073-1273 K! (vacancy clusters transforms to bigger voids or single vacancies?)

> At the end of experiments small pores have been observed.



Desorption from pores: Calculations

E.D. Marenkov et al. Nucl. Instr. and Meth. B 269 (2011) 876-880.



The peak at 630 K correlated with the presence of pores in the sample.
This peak can be described by deuterium gas release from pores distributed in the 500 nm thick surface layer with the pressure 90-400 MPa.

Deuterium filling of traps



HABS (Ljublana)	PlaQ (Garching) – ECR plasma
Average atomic energy: 0.2 eV/D	Ion energy: 20-200 eV/D
Atomic flux: 3.5 · 10 ¹⁸ D/m ² s	Ion flux: (5-10)·10 ¹⁹ D/m ² s
Temperature: 300-800K	Temperature: 300-800K

S. Markelj et al., JNM, 438 (2013) S1027-S1031. O. Ogorodnikova et al., JNM, 442 (2013) 518-527.



Dependence on dpa level







0.89 dpa

D retention in damaged W has a trend to saturation at 0.5-1 dpa
However slow gradual increase is observed till highest dpa, that is in agreement with TEM images

W Coatings & Polycrystalline W



Influence of radiation damages on retention in W coatings is very small, due to presence of many virgin defects.

> Higher retention in W coatings is due to 4 times deeper zone of defects.
> Coatings on Eurofer accumulates more D due to diffusion in to the bulk of substrate.

Damage by MeV ions (IPP, Garching)

Irradiation with 20 MeV W⁶⁺ ions

with 20 MeV V F=1.4x10¹⁸ W

E,= 90 eV

depth, µm





B. Tyburska et al., JNM, 415 (2011) S680-S683. O. Ogorodnikova et al., JNM, 415 (2011) S661-S666. L. Ciupinski et al. Nucl. Instr. and Meth., 317 A (2013) 159

UHV TDS Stand

- 1 TDS chamber
- 2 Sample exchange chamber
- 3 Linear feed through
- 4 Nitrogen trap
- 5 Power supply
- 7 Sample
- 8 Heater
- 9 Thermocouple 10 – Calibration system
- 11 Quadrupole mass-spectrometer
- \succ The base pressure is $<5 \times 10^{-9}$ mbar
- Sample exchange chamber allows to reduce time of experiments



Tungsten coatings



- W coatings on graphite and steel (Eurofer) substrates deposited using the method of combined magnetron sputtering and ion implantation – CMSII.
- > Thickness 10 µm.





Annealing of radiation damage

- The D retention reduces intensively in the temperature range between 300 and 700 K.
- Annealing up to 1100 K reduces only partly the density of radiation defects in W and, consequently, the D retention
- An increase of the D retention at around 1000 K correlates with agglomeration of radiation-induced defects in clusters observed by TEM (L. Ciupinski et al., 2013).





Atomic exposure

With atoms one can study interaction with original pattern of traps.



> High D retention as in the case of plasma!

- D concentration in traps decreases with the implantation temperature. \geq
- At 700 K and 800 K traps are filled already at the fluence of 3×10^{23} D/m².
- One can see the shift of the TDS peak with the increase of exposure temperature.

Calculations: all spectra



> If one uses the same parameters for higher exposure temperature, the calculated width of peaks becomes more than experimental one

Peak positions are also shifted

Calculations: Traps with several places

Real defects can trap several atoms. One can add to the model additional processes:

V+H=VH VH+H=VH₂

$$\frac{\partial C_s}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 C_s}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(C_{t1} + C_{t2} \right)$$

First calculations confirm that this assumption makes peaks thinner!



Vacancies in W: Pressure effect



- 1. Strain conditions considered: isotropic 3D strain within ± 0.44%
- 2. Energies change linearly within the considered interval of strain values
- 3. Formation energy varies within ± 0.15 eV
- 4. Binding energy varies within ± 0.02 eV

Calculations: 600 K



- > TMAP 7 code was used.
- Frauenfelder's diffusivity ≻ > Uniform distribution of traps in the damaged region
- ➢ Good agreement for high fluence, when traps are filled.
- > Dynamics of traps filling depends on recombination coefficient at the surface
- ≻ Even with the highest recombination coefficient the rate of traps filling is faster than in experiment \Rightarrow some factors reduce the transport rate

Calculations: all spectra





- > To get a better agreement with the experiment at 700 K we used higher energy of traps and inhomogeneous profile
- Probably, defect structure changes with the increase of exposure \geq temperature. Or we use a wrong model?



Summary

- Radiation damages lead to accumulation of high amount of hydrogen isotopes (up to ~1 at.%).
- Different W materials has similar maximum concentration of traps. In the case of high initial trap concentration the effect of radiation on retention is smaller.
- > Both keV and MeV ion irradiation produce a high concentration of defects with the high detrapping energy (1.8-2.2 eV), which determine retention at high temperatures. These defects are, very likely, vacancy clusters.
- > At 1000-1200 K vacancy clusters has transformation to bigger size clusters (voids). Large scale pores can be formed which are stable at very high temperatures.
- The filling rate of defects depends strongly on impurities on the surface and in the incident flux.