

Light and metallic impurity identification in the 225-300 Å range in the WEST Tokamak

C. Desgranges⁽¹⁾, <u>R. Guirlet⁽¹⁾</u>, J.L. Schwob⁽²⁾, P. Mandelbaum⁽³⁾, Y. Boumendjel⁽¹⁾, O. Peyrusse⁽⁴⁾, P. Manas⁽¹⁾, N. Fedorczak⁽¹⁾ and the WEST team^(*)

(1) CEA, IRFM, F-13108 Saint-Paul-lez-Durance, France (2) Racah Institute of Physics, The Hebrew University, 91904 Jerusalem, Israel (3) Azrieli College of Engineering, 91035 Jerusalem, Israel (4) Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS, Laboratoire LP3, UMR7341, F-13288 Marseille, France

Commissariat à l'énergie atomique et aux énergies alternatives - www.cea.fr

Introduction

- ITER plasma facing material: W
- Tore Supra, C wall (shut down 2011) \rightarrow WEST (started 2016): W wall



Major radius (m)	2.4	Heating power (MW)	7 + 12 (LH, ICRH waves)
Minor radius (m)	0.7	Plasma duration (s)	20-100
Plasma volume (m ³)	12	Energy conf. time (s)	0.8
Vol. average density (m ⁻³)	3-5 10 ¹⁹	Temperature (keV)	2-6

[Bucalossi NF 2022]

Impurity contamination much more complex in WEST



• In ASDEX-U, JET, WEST: investigations of W sources and contamination



Impurity contamination must be monitored and mitigated in real time Spectroscopy allows detailed impurity inventory in plasmas



- Introduction and scope
- Means and methods
- Results
- Summary and conclusion

Cea

- Two Schwob-Fraenkel, extreme UV spectrometers [Schwob RSI 1987]
- Concave (2 m) 600 g/mm grating
- 10-15 ms resolution
- Absolutely calibrated

z [m]

\rightarrow SURVIE

- Single detector: 225-302 Å
- Single line of sight
- Data fed to real time network
- Plasma control algorithms
- Long term impurity monitoring







- Abundant literature: magnetic fusion (AUG, JET, LHD,...) and EBITs
- NIST atomic spectra database https://www.nist.gov/pml/atomic-spectra-database Most complete (elements/ions, transitions, wavelength domain)



• HULLAC : [1] A. Bar-Shalom et al., J. Quant. Spectrosc. and Radiat. Transfer, **71**,169 (2001) Electron excitation, ionisation, auto-ionisation rate coefficients



- Introduction and scope
- Means and methods
- **Results** (see also poster by C. Desgranges et al.)
- Summary and conclusion

- Impurity injections
 - Voluntary : gas puffing, boronisation
 - Incidental : plasma start-up
- Incidents
 - Plasma-antenna interaction
 - Plasma-facing components
- \rightarrow Time correlations (IR, Vis, rad. power...) \rightarrow Comparison SURVIE/SIR

- Impurity injections
 - Voluntary : gas puffing, boronisation
 - Incidental : plasma start-up
- Incidents
 - Plasma-antenna interaction
 - Plasma-facing components

B powder dropper [Bodner NF 2022]



Before injection

During injection



Commissariat à l'énergie atomique et aux énergies alternatives

- Impurity injections
 - Voluntary : gas puffing, boronisation

Time = 0.061s, B₀ = 3.85T at R₀ = 2.37m

 $\widehat{+}$

1.75 2.00 2.25 2.50 2.75 3.00 3.25

R [m]

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

0.0

-0.2

-0.4

-0.6

-0.8

R [m]

z [m]

- Incidental : plasma start-up
- Incidents

Cu/W coating

- Plasma-antenna interaction
- Plasma-facing components

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

0.0

-0.2

-0.4

-0.6

-0.8

Ζ



R [m]

R [m]

- Impurity injections
 - Voluntary : gas puffing, boronisation
 - Incidental : plasma start-up
- Incidents
 - Plasma-antenna interaction
 - Plasma-facing components



BN tiles on inner bumpers:

- O outgassing,
- Ti from expansion joints



Wavelength (A)

A Results

- Impurity injections
 - Voluntary : gas puffing, boronisation
 - Incidental : plasma start-up
- Incidents
 - Plasma-antenna interaction: LH couplers
 - Plasma-facing components





During/after an arc in an LH coupler

- Impurity injections
 - Voluntary : gas puffing, boronisation
 - Incidental : plasma start-up
- Incidents •
 - Plasma-antenna interaction: ICRH antenna \bullet
 - Plasma-facing components







- Impurity injections
 - Voluntary : gas puffing, boronisation
 - Incidental : plasma start-up
- Incidents
 - Arcs in antennae
 - Plasma-facing components







- Set of typical spectra in various scenarios / spectral line tables
 - Real time monitoring and control of incidents



• 'Control room' impurity density





- Introduction and scope
- Means and methods
- Results
- Summary and conclusion



- EUV spectroscopy proves adapted to WEST impurity set
- About 15 elements can be monitored in the 225-302 Å range of SURVIE
- Impurity concentrations made available in the control room
- Use of W and increasing number of requirements → Tokamaks emit (very) complex VUV-EUV spectra
- If correctly and completely identified, they can/must be used for various purposes:
 - Impurity contamination/transport studies
 - scenario control and tokamak safety in real time
- High spectral ($\lambda/\Delta\lambda \sim 200$ @20 Å, 2000 @200 Å) and time (10 ms) essential
- Future (ITER): need for automatic analysis of measured spectra





Commissariat à l'énergie atomique et aux énergies alternatives - www.cea.fr



Tokamaks: the larger, the dirtier? **NO !!!**



Need for (real time) impurity monitoring and control