Data Evaluation and Uncertainty Estimates for Calculated A+M Data

B. J. Braams and H.-K. Chung Nuclear Data Section, IAEA

Decennial IAEA Technical Meeting on Atomic, Molecular and Plasma-Material Interaction Data for Fusion Science and Technology Daejeon, Korea, 15-19 December 2014



Editorial Statement, Phys Rev A (2011)

Papers presenting the results of theoretical calculations are expected to include uncertainty estimates for the calculations whenever practicable, and especially under the following circumstances:

- If the authors claim high accuracy, or improvements on the accuracy of previous work.

- If the primary motivation for the paper is to make comparisons with present or future high precision experimental measurements.

- If the primary motivation is to provide interpolations or extrapolations of known experimental measurements

Unified Monte Carlo Approach for Nuclear Data



From D. Neudecker, S. Gundacker, H. Leeb et al., ND2010, Jeju Island, Korea; Via R. Capote, presentation at IAEA, 2013-05-06

Unified Monte Carlo Approach for Nuclear Data



Introduction

Our task: To provide internationally recommended and validated data for A+M+PMI/PSI processes relevant to fusion. Before recommendation comes evaluation.

Evaluation has multiple facets: documentation, traceability, data integrity, domain of validity, quantification of uncertainty. Uncertainty assessment is well established for experimental data; needs work for theoretical data.

Challenge: Develop methods to estimate uncertainties of calculated data that do not require huge additional computational effort.

This presentation: One approach from the nuclear data community; Unified Monte Carlo.



Verification, Validation and UQ

Verification. The process of determining how accurately a computer program ("code") correctly solves the equations of the mathematical model.

Validation. The process of determining the degree to which a model is an accurate representation of the real world from the perspective of the intended uses of the model.

Uncertainty quantification (UQ). The process of quantifying uncertainties associated with model calculations of true, physical QOIs, with the goals of accounting for all sources of uncertainty and quantifying the contributions of specific sources to the overall uncertainty.

See NRC Report "Assessing the Reliability of Complex Models: Mathematical and Statistical Foundations of Verification, Validation, and Uncertainty Quantification" (NAP, 2010 online).



Unified Monte Carlo Approach for Nuclear Data

Following R. Capote, presentation at IAEA, 2013-05-06

- $p(\sigma) = C \ge (y_E, V_E | \sigma) \ge p_0(\sigma | \sigma_C, V_C)$
- $p_0(\sigma \mid \sigma_C, V_C) \sim \exp\{-(\frac{1}{2})[(\sigma \sigma_C)^T \cdot (V_C)^{-1} \cdot (\sigma \sigma_C)]\}$
- $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{y}_{\mathrm{E}}, \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{E}} \mid \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \sim \exp\{-(\frac{1}{2})[(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{y}_{\mathrm{E}})^{\mathrm{T}} \bullet (\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{E}})^{-1} \bullet (\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{y}_{\mathrm{E}})]\}, y=f(\boldsymbol{\sigma})$
- $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{E}}$, $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{E}}$: measured quantities with *n* elements

• y_C , V_C : calculated using nuclear models with *m* elements

Use Metropolis (Markov chain) sampling for σ .

[] D. L. Smith, "A Unified Monte Carlo Approach to Fast Neutron Cross Section Data Evaluation," Proceedings of the 8th International Topical Meeting on Nuclear Applications and Utilization of Accelerators, Pocatello, Jul 29 – Aug 2 2007, p. 736.

[] R. Capote and D. L. Smith, "Unified Monte Carlo and Mixed Probability Functions," Journal of the Korean Physical Society 59 (2), August 2011, pp. 1284-1287 (Proceedings ND2010).



Outline of UMC for Rovibrational Spectroscopy

Starting point: MULTIMODE code INT. REVIEWS IN PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY, 2003 Vol. 22, No. 3, 533–549 MULTIMODE: a code to calculate rovibrational energies of polyatomic molecules JOEL M. BOWMAN*, STUART CARTER and XINCHUAN HUANG Cherry L. Emerson Center for Scientific Computation and Department of Chemistry, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA This review focuses on the calculation of the potential, aims to be applicable to a wide class of molecules and on blowed by a review of selected applications. These applications illustrate various features of the code and a biographications. These applications illustrate various features of the code and a biographications. These applications illustrate various features of the code and a bopint out some limitations of the current version of the code. The review or selected applications. These applications in this area of research.



Outline of UMC for Rovibrational Spectroscopy



Permutationally invariant potential energy surfaces in high dimensionality Bastiaan J. Braams[†] and Joel M. Bowman^{*}

Cherry L. Emerson Conter for Scientific Computation and Department of Chemistry, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA (Received 16 June 2009; final version received 29 July 2009)

We review recent progress in developing potential energy and dipole moment surfaces for polyatomic systems with up to 10 atoms. The emphasis is on global inear least sparses fitting of tress of thousands of seattered a *braine* energies using a special, compact fitting thesis of permutationally invariant polynomials in Morsitype variables of all the interneous distances. The computational mathematics underlying this approach is reviewed first, followed by a review of the practical approaches used to obtain the dust for the first. A straightforward symmetrization approach is also given, mainly for pedagogical purposes. The methods are tuburated for potential energy surfaces for CH[4, HoD₂] and CH[CHO. The relationship of this approach to other approaches is also briefly reviewed. **Keywork**: potential energy surface, invariant fitting, CH2; CHCHO, water

Outline of UMC for Rovibrational Spectroscopy

Sources of error and uncertainty

- · Ab initio electronic structure calculations
- · Fitted potential energy surface
- · Solution of nuclear Schrödinger equation
- · Validity of Born-Oppenheimer approximation

Approach via Unified Monte Carlo

- · Treat the PES as the model prior
- MULTIMODE supplies the posterior
- Need some accurate lines to evaluate likelihood of the posterior

Outline of UMC for Rovibrational Spectroscopy

Posterior:

For any coefficients c sampled from the prior

- Set up and solve the nuclear Schrödinger equation [*];
- Evaluate rms deviation for selected known lines;
- Evaluate likelihood; accept or reject vector c.

Evaluate complete spec(H) and relevant matrix elements and an estimated uncertainty from the (Metropolis) statistics.

[*] Maybe solve the S.E. only once, for a reference vector *c*, and then assume a linear response to changes in *c*. For consideration: Could anything similar work for scattering data?

Thank you for your attention!

Outline of UMC for Rovibrational Spectroscopy

Rovibrational molecular spectrum is obtained from solution of the nuclear Schrödinger equation:

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2M}\Delta\Psi + V\cdot\Psi = E\cdot\Psi$$

Here, Ψ is the nuclear wavefunction (say for *N* nuclei) and V(x) is the solution of the electronic S.E. for nuclear configuration *x* (Born-Oppenheimer approximation).

Watson hamiltonian: expansion in rotational states leaving 3N - 6 independent nuclear coordinates.

Solution of nuclear S.E. (eigenvalue problem) provides spectrum and (dipole, etc.) matrix elements.

Outline of UMC for Rovibrational Spectroscopy

Prior:

Consider a linear model for ease of exposition. The coefficients c_i are uncertain.

$$V(x) = \sum_{i} c_{i} f_{i}(x)$$

 $c = c^{(0)} + Gaussian(0, M)$

(Dispersion matrix *M* may be obtained along with least squares determination of $c^{(0)}$.)

If a nonlinear model is used, or a more complicated expression for the prior uncertainty, then one may need Metropolis sampling to obtain c. In practice the model for Vmay have a few nonlinear and many linear parameters; then combine Metropolis and analytical.

